UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,245	04/16/2004	Thomas Bonald	0546-1069 7770	
466 YOUNG & TI	7590 06/28/2007 HOMPSON		EXAMINER	
745 SOUTH 2	3RD STREET		HERRERA, DIEGO D	
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/825,245	BONALD, THOMAS		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Diego Herrera	2617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiruly apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ag</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) ☒ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)	r. election requirement.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 April 2004 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 4/16/2004 was filed. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

## Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2617

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chaponniere et al. (US patent 6449490 B1), and in view of Pankaj (US publication 20020183066 A1), and in view of Bolgiano et al. (US patent 6366568 B1).

Regarding claim 1, (original) Chaponniere et al. discloses a method of selecting at least one transmission channel from a plurality of transmission channels (abstract, col. 2 lines: 42-49, col. 6 lines: 40-45, Chaponniere teaches selecting from two different channels), in a time division multiple access protocol, characterized primarily in that it consists in:

• However, Chaponniere et al. does not specifically teaches receiving for each channel a periodic indication of the transmission quality of that channel, however, Pankaj does teach limitation (paragraph [0036]-[0037], Pankaj teaches continuously monitoring of channel quality). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made by Chaponniere et al. to specifically include

Art Unit: 2617

receiving for each channel a periodic indication of the transmission quality of that channel as taught by Pankaj for the purposes of scheduling calls (abstract).

- However, Chaponniere et al. does not specifically teaches storing these indications for each channel during a time window, nevertheless, Bolgiano et al. does teach the limitation (col. 23 lines: 19-23, 28-35; Bolgiano et al. teaches time window for measurement and storing message of measurement); therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made by Chaponniere et al. to specifically include storing these indications for each channel during a time window, as taught by Bolgiano et al. for the purposes cost effective (col. 5 lines: 44-45).
- selecting at least one channel that has the best current transmission quality indication position relative to the transmission quality indications stored for that channel during the time window (col. 7 lines: 22-39, Chaponniere et al. teaches selecting a channel out of a plurality of channels with best/high quality).

Consider claim 2. (original) Method of selection according to Claim 1, characterized in that the step of selecting at least one channel is carried out from a plurality of channels (paragraph [0036]-[0037], Pankaj teaches continuously monitoring of channel quality) over which data are to be transmitted and from these channels at least one channel that has the best or amongst the best current transmission quality indication position(s) (col. 7 lines: 22-39, Chaponniere et al. teaches selecting a channel out of a plurality of channels with best/high quality) relative to the transmission quality indications stored for

Art Unit: 2617

that channel during the time window (col. 23 lines: 19-23, 28-35; Bolgiano et al. teaches time window for measurement and storing message of measurement).

Consider claim 3. (currently amended) Method according to Claim 1, characterized in that it consists, during a step (SO), in determining the number of channels N (col. 7 lines: 22-39, Chaponniere et al. teaches selecting a channel out of a plurality of channels with best/high quality), the size of the time window T and the initial values of the transmission qualities of each channel during the time window and in that these parameters (col. 23 lines: 19-23, 28-35; Bolgiano et al. teaches time window for measurement and storing message of measurement) may be updated by interrupting the method at moments chosen by a re-initialization finite state machine, particularly when the number of channels N changes due to the activity of the users (col. 23 lines: 19-23, 28-35; Bolgiano et al. teaches time window for measurement and storing message of measurement).

consider claim 4. (original) Method according to Claim 3, characterized in that it consists in executing, during each unit of time, the said series of instructions consisting in:

Executing a loop (B1) to determine for each channel (2\_i) the position
 (Pi) of the current transmission quality indication relative to those stored for that channel during the time window (col. 23 lines: 19-23, 28-35;
 Bolgiano et al. teaches time window for measurement and storing message of measurement);

Application/Control Number: 10/825,245 Page 6

Art Unit: 2617

 selecting, during a step (S5), from the channels for which data are to be transmitted at least one channel (2\_j) that has the best or amongst the best value (s) (Pj) (col. 7 lines: 22-39, Chaponniere et al. teaches selecting a channel out of a plurality of channels with best/high quality);

 during a step (S6), giving transmission authorization at least to the channel (2 j) (col. 23 lines: 19-23, 28-35; Bolgiano et al. teaches time window for measurement and storing message of measurement).

Consider claims 5-7. (original) Method according to Claim 4, characterized in that the loop (BI) consists, for each channel (2 i, where i is from 1 to N), in:

- acquiring, during a step (SI), a transmission quality indication of the channel (2 i), that is Ci(t) (paragraph [0036]-[0037], Pankaj teaches continuously monitoring of channel quality);
- initializing, during a step (S2), a value of position Pi at I (abstract,
   Bolgiano et al. teaches space diversity atntennas);
- executing a second loop (B2) in order to determine a value indicative of the position (Pi) (col. 7 lines: 22-39, Chaponniere et al. teaches selecting a channel out of a plurality of channels with best/high quality);
- executing a third loop (B3) in order to update the transmission quality indications of the channel (2 i) during the time window (col. 23 lines: 19-23, 28-35; Bolgiano et al. teaches time window for measurement and storing message of measurement).

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 8. (original) Method according to Claim 7, characterized in that a convention such as a random choice is applied to the step (S4) when several active channels have a minimum indication of position (Pi) (col. 7 lines: 22-39, Chaponniere et al. teaches selecting a channel out of a plurality of channels with best/high quality).

Consider claim 9. (currently amended) Method according to <u>Claim 7</u>, characterized in that if several channels are authorized to transmit simultaneously, the step (S4) consists in selecting from the channels for which data are to be transmitted those that have the best position (Pi) and in that a step (S5) consists in giving transmission authorization to those channels (col. 23 lines: 19-23, 28-35; Bolgiano et al. teaches time window for measurement and storing message of measurement).

Consider claim 10. (original) Method according to Claim 6, characterized in that the second test (T2) executes a predetermined convention to compute the indication of position (Pi) when the current transmission quality indication of the channel, that is Ci(t), is equal to one or more values of the time window (col. 23 lines: 19-23, 28-35; Bolgiano et al. teaches time window for measurement and storing message of measurement).

Consider claim 11. (currently amended) Method according to <u>Claim 5</u>, characterized in that the loops (BI, B2, B3) are, partially or wholly, processed in parallel and not sequentially (abstract, Bolgiano et al. teaches channel diversity).

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claims 12 and 14. (currently amended) Communication system using the method of claim 1, characterized in that it comprises:

- a method for receiving, for at least one channel, a periodic indication of transmission quality of that channel (col. 7 lines: 22-39, Chaponniere et al. teaches selecting a channel out of a plurality of channels with best/high quality);
- •a memory for storing the transmission quality indications of each channel during a time window (col. 23 lines: 19-23, 28-35; Bolgiano et al. teaches time window for measurement and storing message of measurement);
- •a computing circuit to determine, for each channel for which a periodic indication of transmission quality has been received, the position of the current transmission quality indication of that channel relative to those stored for that channel during the time window (paragraph [0036]-[0037], Pankaj teaches continuously monitoring of channel quality);
- •a circuit for selecting at least one transmission channel that has the best current transmission quality indication position relative to those stored for that channel during the time window (col. 23 lines: 19-23, 28-35; Bolgiano et al. teaches time window for measurement and storing message of measurement).

Consider claim 13. (original) Communication system according to Claim 12, characterized in that the circuit for selecting at least one transmission channel comprises a means of selecting channels over which data are to be transmitted and that

Art Unit: 2617

// OOI (101 1401115C). 10/025,24

have the best or one amongst the best current transmission quality indication position (s) relative to the positions stored for that channel or those channels during the time window (col. 23 lines: 19-23, 28-35; Bolgiano et al. teaches time window for measurement and storing message of measurement).

Consider claim 15.(currently amended) Communication system according to <u>Claim 12</u>, characterized in that it comprises at least one memory (A2) consisting of T blocks, each block (A2.k) containing the Value of transmission quality of the channel (2 i) on the date (t-k), that is Ci(t-k), where k is from 1 to T (col. 12 lines: 1-11, Chaponniere et al.).

Consider claims 16 and 17.(currently amended) Communication system according to Claim 12, characterized in that it comprises at least one set (A3) of at most T comparison circuits, each circuit (A3.k) comparing the current transmission quality indication contained in at least the circuit (AI) with the transmission quality indication on the date t-k contained in the memory block (A2.k), where k is from 1 to T (col. 12 lines: 1-11, Chaponniere et al.).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diego Herrera whose telephone number is (571) 272-0907. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-3:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,245 Page 10

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DH